

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>		<b>Application No.</b> 09/681,017  <b>Examiner</b> CHRISTOPHER C. JOHNS	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DURBIN ET AL.  <b>Art Unit</b> 3621
--	--	---	---

**All Participants:**

(1) Christopher C. Johns.

(2) Kevin Rosin (#55584).

**Date of Interview:** 21 March 2011

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** ~12pm EST

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:  
10, 23

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Christopher C. Johns/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3621

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner and Applicants' representative discussed the claims. The Examiner requested that Applicants' representative make certain amendments to claims 10 and 23 in order to bring them into an allowable state. The claims were amended in a supplemental amendment filed 21 March 2011, and the application was allowed based on the amendments.